

History:

The sole local Walgreens store in the area, store # 04950, has a history of outright refusing to duly provide a Covid-19 vaccination (shot) to a vax-seeker (vax censorship). Back in 2022, one of its store personnel was immortalized on film, denying a shot by simply walking off, without explanation.

You may view that video, and read about that incident, at what is burdened to be a work-around to google not letting account holders into relevant google drive accounts. Yes, you can look at the documentation at the burdened to be a work-around urls. However, google has wrongly rejected all logons to the associated google account(s). This is true for all urls in this doc, with google in them.

Covid - 03-25-2022 - another instance of Vax Censorship -
<https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/12Lt55IABfE229OZF0OhnU9ehFHUj19Z4?usp=sharing>

That particular incident of Walgreens staff refusing outright, to duly provide a Covid shot, (vax censorship) followed a year earlier incident of Covid Testing censorship. Read about that, at:

Oct 2021 suspicious electronic events:
https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1kwNWb1_i7kY10tgMyd4HEAOmdY9iAHI-?usp=sharing
contains:

October, 2023:

Leading up to the month of October, 2023, there had been public announcements that a Covid-19 vaccine for the latest variant, was available. One of the locations at which it was supposed to be available, was the sole local Walgreens store, in the area. (Store # 04950). It is at the address shown in the 10-05-2023 Abridged Completed NDF Complaint. Reading that prior Complaint, will provide you with the overview to this one, which fleshes it out more.

In theory, one could lock in getting the shot for the latest Covid-19 variant, by scheduling online. But that route turned out to have some catches.

Notes on Walgreens online Vaccination Scheduling:

When one went to the url displayed on the entrance doors shown in the 10-05-2023 video, (to store # 04950, in Clovis, NM), to schedule online, the Walgreens site announced:

“Walgreens has Your Vaccine History”

That history might show that La Casa, another Covid shot outlet in the area, had demanded Medicaid info on this vax-seeker, way back during the period of time in which the federal government was paying for all Americans; not merely those on ‘Caid, to get Covid shots. So at least one staff at La Casa, had committed ‘Caid Fraud, double dipping. Complainant also believes that Fraud was part and parcel of a larger and ongoing, WhistleBlower-Retaliator (WB-R) effort. A WB-R effort going on ubiquitously; not merely at La Casa. So, also at this Walgreens.

What sings out as particularly disturbing, is that the ever ongoing WB-R has created a construct in which Complainant is burdened to have to document every freaking aspect of everything I ever do. Such is the deluge, of retaliator lies.

In theory, one could fill out an online form, to request one’s shot history, from Walgreens. That webform likely would have demanded all the same info demanded, to schedule a shot.

Here is some of what the scheduling webform demanded, merely to schedule a shot.

1. DOB – up front, and first. This was not only a Required Field; The Walgreens online Form would not go through to its next questions, without extorting this ID Theft enabling info. So you couldn’t even learn what they were going to poke and pry about you, beyond your DOB. All via the lure of promising a shot. See the 10-05-2023 Abridged Completed NDF Complaint, to see what is wrong with this Walgreens DOB demand. Moreover, read the details concerning PRMC hospital’s secure online portal’s censorship of this patient correcting its records on her, to accuracy; to note that PRMC outright refused to correct to accuracy, any of PRMC’s fictitious records or info, if the accuracies were directly provided by this patient. However, alarmingly, the DOB field was, indeed, changeable!!! Even if I reincarnated, I’d still have the same DOB that I had, upon my first, ever, entry, into PRMC. The entry which PRMC kept destroying the record of, and which I was thus burdened to have to keep re-providing. PRMC kept destroying that record, to cover for its Deliberate, Sadistic, Malpractice, which it Got Paid for. No: It never provided healthcare to this emergency room patient. Never.

Those details can be found at an url provided in the Covid Fraud Quick Reference, separately. These 2 separate DOB events, throw suspicion upon HIPPA violations and ever ongoing ID Theft efforts, committed targeting this Complainant, who is forced to be an unwilling linchpin of a criminal enterprise.

2. Telephone number. This, along with all other fields, was a Required field. So those burdened with no telephone service were denied scheduling a shot. And those with it, could be easily hacked. See the All Hackers Need is Your Phone Number doc, at:

Selective Conduct re: Tel Svc:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/17-qAtcJrbm7Nx_3LNbhaFr4rR3oy5Qjz?usp=share_link

3. Gender, Race, and Ethnicity. Now: Why does a vax provider need to know this, merely to schedule providing a vaccination?

Only after extorting all this info, did the Walgreens website then at long last, divulge when shots would be administered, at that specific Walgreens Location.

Ridiculous: This is merely logistical info. It should not change, based upon any of the above demanded info. It should be duly provided up front, in an announcement format. One should not be subjected to a webform, to learn of it.

4. The Walgreens shot scheduling webform next demanded a complete address. So if you are rendered homeless, sleeping in the streets; the case, for many in the USA, too bad: Walgreens censors you from getting vaccinated. That increases incidence of exposure, for the rest of the population, a public health risk. Too bad: Walgreens demanded this info.

5. Next: The webform returned a Confo #, and reiterated the entries. However, it falsely FLIPPED the gender from what had been entered. If one went back several times over, it would show the gender entry as it had been entered. But upon the Confo # webpage, it would flip back to a gender not at all as it had been entered. The Walgreens shot scheduling webform was adamant, about this. It, and it alone, would decree what your gender was.

6. It then said to complete a Vax Consent form. That could ONLY be completed online. No option, at the store. Or even, downloading a printout to fill in by hand. And it turned out that the store wasn't having people fill it out in person, in a way whereby they could read it, first.

7. It then gave directions. Among them, it demanded that vax-seekers must feel healthy and well, to get the shot. However, there is no longer any treatment available, for adult Long Haulers, who never feel well. And that is a disturbingly high percentage of the population. So they can never get the latest Covid variant shots, per Walgreens. Depriving them of life saving shots, increases the incidence of exposure, for everyone else. It puts even the vaccinated, at risk.

Refusing to duly provide Long Hauler treatment to adults, is a bad policy. But those who instituted this policy, get around this, by demanding that prescribers first have a showing that a Long Hauler tested Positive for Covid; something which many Long Haulers won't show, on a Covid test. Moreover, note what occurred, when this Test Taker attempted to take the test live via online video conference, administered by a contractor, years ago, separately: The live via online video conference selectively glitched, ensuring my results would not be independently provable. The folks who put the contractor up to selectively glitching against this Test Taker, never got prosecuted.

So scheduling online, was going to turn out to be a bad idea. Okie Doke: How about scheduling in person? Here's what happened when I tried that.

Sunday, October 1, 2023:

On Sunday, October 1, 2023, this vax-seeker inquired at the store, about scheduling a Covid-19 shot in person, rather than online. See the relevant videos and listen to the additional relevant audio. Then-time store manager on duty, Reina, said yes, one could do so in person with the manager on Monday, after 10am. Presumably, that would mean one could do so on ordinary business week days, as well.

Further, one could get the shot on the same day as the day during which one scheduled for it. Listen to that audio. Oddly, however, no store employee admitted to knowing the last name of the store manager on duty at the time. Yes: I asked.

Following is a description of the 3 relevant sections of video and/or audio. The gaps in between them comprise times spent waiting for someone to appear, or something relevant to occur.

1. I enter Walgreens, where availability of flu and Covid 19 shots are prominently posted. I ask for a manager, so as to set an appointment for a shot in person, since the store ordinarily wanted vax seekers to set online, and my online observation was of appointment setting censorship. The cashier did not appear to be involved in any fraud scheme, and so, he had no objection to being immortalized on film. (Contrast with certain other Walgreens personnel.) The cashier seemed to request a manager, and said the manager's name was Raymond. But this store employee said he was not sure of the manager's last name. It may have been Raynez.

2. The manager who actually came forward, said to be named Reina, likewise, did not appear to be involved in any fraud scheme, and so, she had no objection to being immortalized on film. She answered my questions. While perhaps too succinct, she did not seem evasive. She did not leave me with the impression she had anything to hide.

It turned out that no store employee admitted to knowing the last name of the store manager on duty at the time, Reina. Yes: I asked.

It also turned out later, that on October 5, 2023, the whole protocol concerning scheduling, was not even required, at all. One could simply waltz in, and get the shot. (If one was not subjected to vax censorship, the case, for this vax-seeker). What is unclear, is if that were true on October 1, 2023. If yes, why not divulge this simplicity? If no, why the change?

Not wanting anyone I did not at that point in time suspect of any foul play, to have to be videotaped, yet still, burdened with having to document every freaking aspect of everything I ever do, I recorded audio, for the third section.

3. Established: One may acquire the vaccination on the same day, as it is set (scheduled).

My preference is to provide you with one simple link to the folder with this – and any – collection in it. However, as of this doc prep, the site folks are refusing to provide that option. So I am burdened with having to provide you with separate links to each file, and you are burdened with having to go to each one in separate steps, instead of seeing all at one convenient link.

Oct 1, 2023, Inquiry about Covid-19 shots at Walgreens folder – view the 2 videos and listen to the one audio, at:

1 - Enter the WGns store - video

https://video.wixstatic.com/video/66a15c_525467782bbd4921b95bb1b04101074b/480p/mp4/file.mp4

2 - Set in person Biz days after 10am - video

https://video.wixstatic.com/video/66a15c_7b7472bd7d404488a229beb9a8765fa3/480p/mp4/file.mp4

3 - Get Vax Same Day as Set - audio

https://static.wixstatic.com/mp3/66a15c_4463918aad4b4944b876a24ff62fd928.wav

Above link to audio as of this doc prep, requires a download.


Several days passed, before I was able to make it back to Walgreens, for the Covid shot. So I was unable to make it in, on the next Monday, October 2, 2023. But I did make it in on Thursday, October 5, 2023. That's a business day. I went in past 10am. Everything should have gone as described, back on October 1, 2023, or better. It didn't.

Thursday, a business day, October 5, 2023:

On Oct. 5, 2023, I went to the sole local Walgreens, for a Covid 19 latest variant shot. I was expecting to schedule it with the store manager on duty, and so again, asked about getting the shot, once I entered. But apparently, scheduling it was no longer required, by that point in time. That seems better than the protocol of scheduling, right? So far, so good.

It seemed as though the matter would be a simple walk down the aisle to the store's pharmacy, where one would get the shot, with no scheduling, no muss, no fuss. Notice the security cameras on the ceiling. The pharmacy section of the store is at the far end of the aisle, in the graphic shown.

But the Walgreens pharmacy computer system rejected both my Medicaid and Medicare cards. Again. It had done so previously, as described in the History section of this doc.



That seemed like WB-R, because the Walgreens pharmacy computer hung up and froze, far more than what is shown in the relevant videos. In fact, as with the Oct 1 recordings, I left out of the videos shown, a great deal of simply waiting around for something relevant, to occur.

And it shows plenty of slowness, on the several videos which I have shown.

A different manager had a fit over being recorded, whereas the pharmacy clerk by the computer, did not. So Omar, that different manager, is one of my suspects, behind the Walgreens computer misbehavior. If Omar or his assistant manager at the time, wish to contest what occurred, they can cough up the store's building camera footage, of the relevant time period. No excuses: You can see the store cameras. It is particularly significant, that Omar made a repeated issue, of not wanting to be recorded. Too bad: His conduct made him one of my favorite suspects. Moreover, being recorded was a routine aspect of his job, there.

What was particularly disturbing, was that the Walgreens computer system further, demanded my DOB, an ID Theft issue of long standing, never prosecuted, despite exposure. My preference is to provide you with one simple link to the folder with the relevant collection of documents in it. However, as of this doc prep, the site folks are refusing to provide that option. So I am burdened with having to provide you with separate links to each file, and you are burdened with having to go to each one in separate steps, instead of seeing all at one convenient link.

See the info in, and also the info in the additional documentation, within the urls provided in the documents at:

Sep 2023 - Signed HIPPA Complaint & Consent:
https://66a15c69-7119-4b1b-9da1-64f21ac565f3.usrfiles.com/ugd/66a15c_c06e8618441d48daf4e9ba6839f99f5.pdf

Covid Fraud Reference:
https://66a15c69-7119-4b1b-9da1-64f21ac565f3.usrfiles.com/ugd/66a15c_933b4a3cbcb40e09e253ab87c750653.pdf

10-05-23 - Abridged Completed NDF Complaint:
https://66a15c69-7119-4b1b-9da1-64f21ac565f3.usrfiles.com/ugd/66a15c_2eb5ce7e8124494a90b0a4d3acb17687.pdf

The matter may further be related to an incident of fraudulently keeping paper Rx's out of my hands, at another location. See:

Rx Frd & IDT:
https://66a15c69-7119-4b1b-9da1-64f21ac565f3.usrfiles.com/ugd/66a15c_aaae02ed629d4895ae0f92aa2de33096.pdf

Even had Omar not been aware of the history, still, his conduct was neither professional, nor appropriate to the Walgreens computer rejection of both my 'Caid and 'Care cards. And thereby, Walgreen's outright refusal to duly vaccinate me against Covid-19. A second time.

The Walgreens computer was even worse than that. Not only did it demand my DOB (ID Theft enabling info), further, even after accurately provided, the Walgreens computer system still, nevertheless, still, outright refused to accept me for a Covid-19 shot. Someone with access, had to have gone in, and tampered with that data, for this to be the case.

Moreover, this vax censorship was selective: The same computer system had processed 30 vax-seekers on the same morning, before me. And they all got their Covid shots, per the pharmacy clerk. Apparently, no one with access to the Walgreens computer system, had tampered with the data on their DOBs.

At around the one minute, 42 second mark, in the third video (labeled with a "c"), I inquired:

Was it (the Walgreens computer system) slow for those 30?

The pharmacy clerk responded:

No, not really.

Then she added –

It was probably about the same time of wait.

It was doing the same thing at 10 o'clock when I was here.

I inquired:

So I don't understand: It was not that slow, but it was that slow?

She responded:

Um Hum (yes). It's been this slow all day. It picks up and it slows down sometimes. Sometimes it's a little bit slower. ... Right now, it's just taking awhile.

She then went on to backtrack, muddying what she had first said. At around the 2 minute, 19 second mark on "c," she explained:

It is a little bit slower than this morning, it **keeps shutting down** on me. (emphasis added)

Well, It shouldn't be selectively shutting down. If this were the only instance, I might chalk it up to coincidence; not selectivity. But go back over Walgreens History, in this doc.

Instead of apologizing for the delay, for the ID Theft, for the vax censorship, the manager and assistant manager, acted angry and hostile. The manager outright refused to duly allow provision of the shot, and even tried to then get SSN info (more ID Theft enabling info). Even had he not known of my extensive, long ongoing, ID Theft experiences, still, he should have behaved more professionally, and facilitated my getting the shot; rather than outright refusing me the shot. None of this ID Theft enabling stuff should be required, to begin with. It certainly raises suspicion, when shots are rejected, despite accurate info being provided by the vax-seeker. It means the Walgreens computer data on me is false. I suspect, knowingly false. As a form of WB-R.

No one (i.e.: the guilty involved) is going to budge me, on this. When the Walgreens computer system rejected my accurate info, and both my cards, it was clear that its data on me was false. I don't know what furtive weasels slithered in and inserted that fictitious data. But I know both the SSA and NM HSD have routinely outright refused to accept accurate data when it comes directly from me. Yet they, along with bad credit bureaus and bad oversight entities, have had no problems whatsoever, not only accepting bad data from furtive liars: but worse; providing the petticoats for those ID thieves to hide behind. They also do, indeed, for many people, allow the direct person involved, to correct their data. No: Not merely update: Correct.

But not so, for those compelled to be a linchpin of a criminal enterprise, operating within otherwise legitimate governmental function.

As you view the separate relevant videos, of the October 5, 2023, vax-seeking attempt, you might note a few things.

Upon this employee's request for DOB, I instead, provided both my Medicaid and Medicare cards. As she asked questions, I pointed out to her, that the answers were on those cards.

From the voices in the background, one can tell that employees were routinely demanding the DOBs of their customers. The implication being, they would not duly provide RX's or shots, without this ID Theft risking info. This was within earshot of anyone in the vicinity. And under store cameras.

The pharmacy clerk made notes of the info on my 'Caid and 'Care cards. That appeared to be an employee policy, in order to avoid having to walk off with a customer's cards. Yet – ultimately, she walked off with my cards. This, despite that she had earlier said, "*The information is looking the same, so far.*" Her explanation for walking off with them, failed to - - - explain. Instead, she said: "*Just a second; I'll be right back.*" And off she went, taking both my physical cards with her.

No: She never did return to me. Instead, it was the manic manager, who came up, in her stead. He failed to initially present that he had my cards in his hands. He made no apology or explanation, for the making off, with my physical cards, or, why the computer was misbehaving, or why the computer system was rejecting both of my cards, and my accurate info.

Instead, the first words out of manic manager Omar's mouth, were a demand not to be immortalized on film. He made issue of this. Repeatedly. He even threatened to "ask you to leave." Without those cards of mine, he secretly, at that point of threat, had in his hands.

Too bad: His conduct thus made him one of my favorite suspects. Moreover, being recorded was a routine aspect of his job, there. Stealing 'Caid and 'Care cards, should not have been something he felt entitled to do. Nor, threatening the authorized possessor.



But he absolutely, vapidly, made no apologies for the fraudulent conduct of the Walgreens computer system. Nor was he going to allow anyone to duly provide me a Covid-19 shot: The point of the visit.

He firmly clenched my cards in his hands, refusing to relinquish them, and demanded the last 4 digits of my SSN. So he was trying to get further ID Theft enabling info, past the highly suspicious point in time, that the Walgreens computer system had rejected my accurate DOB. Since that rejection should not have occurred, there was no way I was going to release further ID theft enabling info.

Moreover, a similar pattern of rejecting accurate info, had previously occurred, at a bank. That had ended with me having to close out any bank account, to stop the fraudulent draining of its funds. Then? The relevant oversight entity enabled the financial fraudsters, further. No: They never prosecuted, and ensured I would never recover those stolen funds.

Well, since the Walgreens computer system had rejected my accurate DOB, and both my 'Caid and 'Care cards, Omar's further ID info demand was merely a fishing expedition. He was not going to let Walgreens personnel vaccinate me. His point was to retaliate over exposures of healthcare fraud, committed against Complainant. And he was going to lie, likely quoting the fictitious data in the Walgreens computer, as his pile of rationalization for committing WB-R.

WB-R is against state law at NMSA 30-24-3 (Intimidation of, Retaliation against, a witness). The intent of Complainant's retaliators is to cause bodily injury – such as what occurs from deprivation of shots. Omar's threat to, "ask you to leave," was for the purpose of intimidating me from testifying to any fact. And yes, to prevent ready access to evidence of his conduct. Sure: You might be able to get it from the Walgreens building cams. But go ahead and try.

I had exposed healthcare fraud, a federal offense, to law enforcement. (See the relevant urls) WB-R is also against fed law, at 18 USC §1513.

→ 18 USC 1513: Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant

(e) Whoever knowingly, with the intent to retaliate, takes any action harmful to any person, ... [such as denying Covid shots, threatening them, trying to steal their cards and ID theft enabling info] for providing to a law enforcement officer any truthful information relating to the commission or possible commission of any Federal offense, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned (up to) 10 years, or both.

(f) Whoever conspires to commit any offense under this section shall be subject to the same penalties as those prescribed for the offense the commission of which was the object of the conspiracy.

Omar had conspired to steal my 'Caid and 'Care cards, and more of my ID theft enabling info. It is irrelevant that he failed – this time – to effect the commission of his crime. He had furthermore, actually denied, not merely conspired to deny, me a Covid-19 shot.



There was also a head-game aspect, to his conduct. He taunted an unspoken pretense to duly return my cards, with full intent to snatch them away, were I to reach for them.

That is not the conduct of one who is sincere. This was not a person duped by the fraudulent data within the Walgreens computer system.

this, with verbiage that sounded tame enough. Sure, he deflected focus off of his doing. But his deeds spoke louder than his words.

Now they are offered to you.
Oops: now I snatch them away. See the
store cam, behind his head, to the right.



He was trying to steal not only my ID theft enabling info; he was trying to steal both my physical 'Caid and 'Care cards, as well.

As for all his demanding not to be filmed: note his employer's – the pharmacy's playing video footage, to the left of his head, in his workspace area. It looks like the store's intent was to keep track of employee behavior; not merely that of customers. Apparently, he's not the first employee to have behaved this way.

A Note on the audio within the videos:

I tried to normalize the audio, so that you can more easily hear the other party. That is, so that you could hear both sides of the conversation, with equal ease. However, the encoding got in the way. Removing the encoding, has been an unreliable, and at best, only intermittently doable, process. And so you'll note the uneven audio, within the videos.

The collection of Oct. 5, 2023, videos may be found as follows.

a - 10-05-23 - Enter WGNs:

https://video.wixstatic.com/video/66a15c_ec71cdadb78943d6b3555bb578603983/480p/mp4/file.mp4

b - 10-05-23 - point out answers:

https://video.wixstatic.com/video/66a15c_a3e9f5a0469944c69cd4082e311df42d/480p/mp4/file.mp4

c - computer is selective:

https://video.wixstatic.com/video/66a15c_3075fc3cb1994466aec8749c4956b87c/480p/mp4/file.mp4

d - card & ID Theft Tries:

https://video.wixstatic.com/video/66a15c_6e148392698a48b18fbd5f44c241a4f/480p/mp4/file.mp4

Unprosecuted ID Theft Drives Ever Ongoing Crimes Targeting this Unwilling Linchpin:

Clearly, the computer system at Walgreens has fictional info, on me. See the June – Aug 2023 sub-folder, at the url below. I suspect that fictional info came from NM ISD and SSA.

Refusals to Correct Inaccuracies:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1i6wowufoX1DuDliWI73lLzy4zA1giqv6?usp=drive_link

What Might be a Contributing Factor:

NM ISD seems to promote those who harm others, to achieve dishonest career advancement. NM HSD's Chris Garcia got promoted from Local ISD branch manager, to District manager, since his hate crime based financial frauds were exposed. (See documentation at the url above). Janna Bonney, was promoted to local ISD branch manager, since her activity was exposed. See the exposure, in the Persisting HSD Issues folder, at what is burdened to be a work-around to google not letting account holders into relevant google drive accounts. Yes, you can look at the documentation at the burdened to be a work-around urls. However, google has wrongly rejected all logons to the associated google account(s).

Persisting HSD issues:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1xzznCAqKezlqROCKTnSaamCct_hgepp4?usp=drive_link

So: All these other databases must have and belligerently maintain, false info on me, as well, because the artist formerly known as NM HSD keeps refusing to duly provide the by-law and by-math required, benefits. And the SSA as well as NM HSD, both keep getting my address wrong, no matter how many times I keep not only providing, but further, proving proof of, what it is. An extreme example is NM HSD, which gets some of its data from the SSA, refusing to let me change my MCO, by refusing the zip code entered, despite their letter being mailed to an address with that very same zip code. See and listen, via:

10-13-2023 - HSD Refuses MCO Change:
https://66a15c69-7119-4b1b-9da1-64f21ac565f3.usrfiles.com/ugd/66a15c_d553110846fa45769ae6d93eacf69a65.pdf

How DARE Complainant provide proof of all this, shriek retaliators! But on my end, it is burdensome to have to document every freaking aspect of everything I ever do. That is something which you, assuming you are not made the unwilling linchpin of a criminal scheme, take for granted, that you do not have to do, in your day to day life.

The Problem:

Unprosecuted ID Theft and WB-R, enable these and ensuing crimes to persist.

~~~~~  
~~~~~  
~~~~~  
~~~~~